Supplier Verify Report

Supplier Verification Report · SAMPLE EDITION · **Updated November 9, 2026**

SAMPLE — all names, numbers and findings below are fictional, created for demonstration of report structure only. This company does not exist and this document is not a verification of any real entity.

Prepared for	Blue Harbour Home Goods LLC (demo client)	**Report Type**	Supplier Verify — $129 · one specified supplier · timing confirmed per order
Prepared by	Henry Xia · Analyst SG-001 · Founder-Analyst	**Verification Date**	2026-09-05 (all sources pulled same day)
Supplier Reviewed	Shenzhen Demo Electronics Co., Ltd. 深圳示例电子有限公司 (fictional)	**Delivery**	PDF, signed · timing confirmed per order · one follow-up question included

WHAT THIS REPORT COVERS — FIXED SCOPE

Included	Not included
1. Entity-to-listing consistency — registered identity vs storefront, website and platform claims. 2. Document review — completeness, source, numbering and scope consistency of the documents you provide. 3. Payment-instruction consistency — red flags where the payee or account does not match the registered entity. No financial or tax advice. 4. What is verified and what is not — confirmed facts, open questions, missing inputs, next checks. 5. Explicit exclusions, stated up front (right column).	Certificate-authenticity guarantees · product-compliance decisions · lab testing · legal or tax opinions · on-site factory audits · procurement quality assurance. Certificate questions: routed manually on request. A risk-signal snapshot, not a guarantee.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Decision summary. The decision this sample supports: whether to keep paying this supplier as planned. Key findings below; open questions stay visible in §7.

VERDICT	COVERAGE
Elevated — Level 2 falls at this level on the fixed-scope reading (§2)	**4 / 4 sources** queried same-day · unverified fields are never scored as zero (§2a)

2	1	6+	1
Actionable findings	Identity deviations	Years operating	Consistency conflicts

Event rule: any count above zero is reported as a dated event in Sections 7–8 — a zero tile is a dated "no hit in [named source]", never a guarantee of absence.

Box 1	**Verification Basis**	**Named-source cross-check** — GSXT · Credit China · China Court publications · Execution Open Network (all queried 2026-09-05)
Item	**Result**	
Box 2	Supplier Claimed Name	"Shenzhen Demo Electronics Technology Co., Ltd." (as used on Alibaba storefront)
Box 3	Chinese Legal Name Identified	深圳示例电子有限公司 — storefront name omits 有限公司 form and adds "Technology"
Unified Social Credit Code	91440300DEM0XE00XX (identified via GSXT lookup)	

Box 5	Registration Status	**Active** (registered 2019-03-14, annual reports filed)
Box 6	Supplier Type Hint	**More likely a trading company** — see Section 9
Box 8	Recommended Action	**Proceed with caution**: require bank-beneficiary proof and corrected PI before any deposit (see Section 10)

2. RISK LEVEL ASSESSMENT

Our risk level combines registry document checks and multi-source public records into a three-tier judgment; each contributing signal is listed with its source and date. It is an analyst judgement, not a credit rating and not a prediction of future behaviour. Where a factor weighs heavily or less heavily, we say so in words — we do not publish a numeric score, because an honest score needs a peer cohort we do not yet have.

Level	What it means	Typical triggers
Low	Registration-level records clean; identity chain consistent	All five registration facts verified; no risk records; names consistent across documents
■ Elevated — Level 2 (THIS FILE)	Legally registered and operating, with inconsistencies that must be resolved before funds move	Naming deviations; third-party payment beneficiary; unverified factory claim
High — Level 3/4	Material red flags in registry or identity chain	Enforcement records; dishonest-debtor listing; license revoked; beneficiary unrelated and unexplained
Insufficient data	Signals could not be verified from public sources	Registry entry not found; documents too incomplete to cross-check

Dimension (v2.2)	Covers	This file
Compliance baseline	Licenses, qualifications, serious-violation records	Clean except one minor 2023 penalty (resolved)
Capacity signals	Operating scale, business scope, export history	Small trading-office profile; scope relevant but multi-category
Deal structure	Equity, changes, affiliations, payment path	**HK beneficiary mismatch — drives Elevated**
Stability	Age, change frequency, abnormal-operation listings	6+ years, one representative change, no listings

Three risk classes (v2.2 framework note): exogenous (industry/finance environment), endogenous (supply-chain coordination), and **counterparty opportunism** — the seller acting on private information to its own advantage. This report focuses on the third class, where pre-deposit buyers can actually act.

Strengths (what supports the deal)	Areas of Concern (what needs resolving)
• Active registration, 6+ years, annual reports filed • USCC and license match the registry • No court, enforcement or dishonesty records	• Storefront name adds "Technology" (Section 8) • HK payment beneficiary ≠ registry counterparty (Section 8) • Factory claim not site-verified

2a. COVERAGE — whether each check could produce a finding: 4/4 sources queried; factory claim = *out of snapshot scope* (not "verified absent"). Unverified fields are never scored as zero.
2b. PREDICTION — this report contains **no predictive score**. Coverage above says what we could check; it must never be read as a probability of anything.

This file: Elevated (Level 2) — driven by two findings: the storefront-name deviation (Section 8) and the Hong Kong payment beneficiary that does not match the registry counterparty (Section 8). Both are fixable before deposit; neither was visible on the storefront, the license or the PI alone.

3. INFORMATION PROVIDED BY CLIENT

Information Type	Provided	Notes

Supplier English Name	Yes	As on Alibaba storefront
Chinese Legal Name	No	Identified during verification
Unified Social Credit Code	No	—
Business License Image	Yes	Copy provided; reviewed in Section 5
Quotation / Proforma Invoice	Yes	PI header used in Consistency Check
Bank Beneficiary Name	Yes	As written in PI payment terms
Claimed Factory Address	Yes	Claims factory in Dongguan; out of snapshot scope (see §2a coverage)

Client's main concern: first order, USD 28,000, 30% deposit requested by T/T to a company account whose name differs slightly from the storefront name.

4. COMPANY IDENTITY CHECK

Field	Finding
Chinese Legal Name	深圳示例电子有限公司 (Shenzhen Demo Electronics Co., Ltd.)
Unified Social Credit Code	91440300DEM0XE00XX
Registration Status	Active (存续)
Date of Establishment	2019-03-14
Legal Representative	Wang Demo (王示例)
Registered Capital	CNY 500,000 (paid-in: not published)
Registered Address	深圳市宝安区示例路88号 Room 604, Building 2 (office unit, not industrial)
Business Scope	Domestic trade; import & export of electronics, household goods, toys (multi-category)
Source Reviewed · Time	GSXT national registry (queried 2026-09-05 10:22 CST · data as of query date)

5. BUSINESS LICENSE REVIEW

Checkpoint	Result	Notes
License image provided	Yes	Readable, complete
Company name matches public record	Partial	License matches registry; storefront adds "Technology" and drops Co., Ltd. form
USCC matches	Yes	18-digit code consistent with registry
Legal representative matches	Yes	—
Registered address matches	Yes	License address = registry address
Business scope appears relevant	Partially	Scope covers trade in claimed product category, but also unrelated categories

4A. SHELL ENTITY ASSESSMENT

Indicator	Finding
Registered capital	CNY 500,000 subscribed — paid-in not published (common; not alone a shell signal)
Filing footprint	Annual reports filed; small-scale profile consistent with a trading office, not a shell
Address type	Office unit in a mixed-use building — typical for trading companies, not a mass-registration cluster

Operational coherence	Storefront, export scope, and product line all present and coherent
Shell indicators detected	**No** — no shell entity indicators detected; trading-vs-manufacturer assessment in Section 9

6. REGISTRATION HISTORY

Historical records are shown for context only and marked with their original filing dates. Frequent or recent changes are not fraud signals by themselves — but they change what you are looking at, and buyers often sign with a company that no longer matches its file.

Milestone block	Date	Change	From → To
Establishment	2019-03-14	Incorporation	Registered in Shenzhen with CNY 500,000 stated capital
Change sequence	2021-08	Legal representative	Chen Old (陈旧例) → Wang Demo (王示例)
	2023-05	Registered address	Different unit, same building cluster in Bao'an — same-city move
Current state	—	Capital / USCC / name	**No changes on record** — USCC, legal name and stated capital unchanged since incorporation

7. PUBLIC RISK SIGNAL REVIEW

Risk signal	Finding (per-source, dated)	Notes	Evidence trail
Registration status	Active — identified in the GSXT national registry	存续	GSXT · queried 09-05 10:22 · USCC match
Abnormal-operation listing	No abnormal-operation listing found in the GSXT abnormal-operation list	—	GSXT abnormal-op list · clean
Serious-violation listing	No serious-violation record found in the GSXT serious-violation list	—	GSXT serious-violation list · clean
Administrative penalties	**Found** — one 2023 market-supervision penalty in Credit China	Missing product-label filing; resolved and paid	Credit China · penalty record #2023-0412
Enforcement records	No enforcement record found in the Execution Information Open Network	—	Execution Open Network · name+USCC · clean
Dishonest judgment debtor	No dishonest-debtor listing found in the Execution Open Network debtor list	—	Execution Open Network · debtor list · clean
Litigation signals	No published civil cases found in China Court publications	0 cases (party-name search)	Court publications · party name search · clean
Factory site check	N/A — source not returned	Out of snapshot scope; not "verified absent" (§2a)	— (desktop snapshot scope)
Sources	GSXT · Credit China (信用中国) · China Court publications (裁判文书) · Execution Open Network	All queries timestamped 2026-09-05 · registry sync lag may apply (1–3 business days)	Named-source cross-check — see Source Log

Coverage rule: any source rated Partially covered or Not queried caps the overall risk level at Elevated (Level 2) — "not found" is never reported as "clear." Each negative line names the source it was not found in; two empty sources are two separate statements, never collapsed into one "no records found."

8. CONSISTENCY CHECK — DOES EVERY NAME IN THE DEAL POINT TO THE SAME LEGAL ENTITY?

Document / Channel	Name as written	vs Registry	Signal?
Alibaba storefront	"Shenzhen Demo Electronics Technology"	**Deviation** — adds "Technology", drops 有限公司 form	Counted — minor
Business license (provided)	深圳示例电子有限公司	**Match**	—
PI header (proforma invoice)	深圳示例电子有限公司	**Match**	—
Bank beneficiary (T/T details)	"DEMO TRADING (HK) LIMITED"	**MISMATCH** — a Hong Kong entity, not the registry counterparty; unexplained by supplier	**Counted — drives Elevated**
Website domain whois	Registered 2021-06 to Shenzhen registrant	**Consistent** (age fits 2019 registration)	—
Claimed factory (Dongguan)	No registry trace of factory entity at claimed address	**Unresolved** — typical for trading companies; factory audit would settle it	Not counted (§2a scope)

Discrepancies noted (v2.2): each mismatch above is listed as its own line and carries a signal decision — counted, not counted, or out of scope. Conflicting values are shown side by side, never silently reconciled.

Why this module exists: payment-scams almost always enter through a name the buyer did not cross-check. One mismatch (the HK beneficiary) is the single actionable red flag in this file — and it was not visible on the storefront, the license or the PI individually.

ANALYST COMMENTS

Overall Conclusion — Level 2: The supplier is a legally registered, operating business with no registration-level red flags. However, the identity chain shows two minor inconsistencies (storefront naming and a third-party payment beneficiary) that must be resolved before funds move. No court, enforcement or abnormal-operation records were found as of the verification date.

Henry Xia · Analyst SG-001 · Founder-Analyst — Scope: 4 sources · checked 2026-09-05 · Paid by the ordering buyer. This judgement is not a credit rating and not a prediction of future behaviour.

9. SUPPLIER TYPE INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Indicator	Observation	Points to
Business scope	Domestic trade + import/export across 3 unrelated categories	Trading
Registered address	Office unit in Bao'an commercial building	Trading
Claimed factory	Dongguan address, no linked production entity	Trading (unproven factory)
Export history	No customs-record review in snapshot scope	Inconclusive

Initial reading: more likely a trading company. Trading is not fraud — but buyers should price in the middleman layer and hold consistency to a higher standard.

9A. REAL TRADE CONTEXT — FOUR-ELEMENT CHECK

A deal is only as real as the trade behind it. We check the four elements below against the documents in this report; each is answered, marked out of scope, or flagged.

Element	What we look at	This file
1. Transaction form	PI / contract form consistent with history and scope	PI provided; names the registry entity as seller (form OK)

2. Payment path	Account name = transacting entity? split ratios = industry norm?	**Flagged** — beneficiary is a different HK entity; 30% T/T split is normal, the account is not
3. Counterparty ecosystem	Upstream/downstream trading relationships real and present?	Storefront + export scope present; upstream not verifiable in snapshot scope
4. Trade method	Incoterms / logistics document chain self-consistent?	No shipping documents yet (pre-deposit); check again before balance payment

Element 2 is the same red flag as Section 8 — a payment path that leaves the registry entity is the classic entry point of fictitious-trade fraud.

10. RECOMMENDED ACTIONS BEFORE DEPOSIT

1. Require a bank letter or card photo showing the T/T beneficiary exactly as "深圳示例电子有限公司"; refuse payment to the HK entity unless a verified agency contract is provided.
2. Ask for a corrected PI naming the registry legal name as seller and beneficiary.
3. Keep the first order on Alibaba Trade Assurance (or reduce exposure with 30% deposit + balance against B/L copy).
4. Run a live video walk-through of the claimed Dongguan factory, or order a third-party factory audit if the order exceeds USD 20,000.
5. A screening tool, not forensic authentication. Re-verify if the deal is still open 15 days later — registration status can change between quote and payment; interpretive judgments are excluded from the guarantee.

Questions or disagreement with a finding? Reply to your order thread or support@sorcgard.com — we re-check any challenged finding within 14 days and correct the report if the record warrants it.

APPENDIX A2 · READING THIS REPORT FOR US BUYERS

Who paid for this report. This report is produced and sold by Sorcgard. We are paid by the buyer who orders it — not by the supplier named in the report. It is a one-time fee for a pre-deposit check. There is no subscription, and the supplier cannot pay to change anything in it.
What we checked, and where the limits are. Our risk level combines registry document checks and multi-source public records into a three-tier judgment; each contributing signal is listed with its source and date. Two things we will not pretend: "No records found" is not "no risk" — no hit in a named registry is its own statement, and it is not the same as a clean bill. And some sources do not return certain fields or jurisdictions — the Appendix lists exactly what each source can and cannot return.
Who wrote it. Henry Xia · Analyst SG-001 · Founder-Analyst. Scope: 4 sources · checked 2026-09-05. Paid by the ordering buyer.
How fresh it is. Updated November 9, 2026. We re-stamp this report when the risk tier changes or a key piece of evidence is replaced — each change is noted in the Appendix. We do not claim "continuously updated"; we claim dated pulls.
Where to start. Start at the Executive Summary (§1) for the three-tier judgment and the coverage card, then §2 to see how each scope area contributed. *This report is a starting point for your own decision — not an audit, appraisal, or certification.*

Chinese registry concepts mapped to their closest US analogies. **Analogies are approximate, not equivalents** — for any inconsistency, the Chinese source records prevail.

Chinese record	≈ US analog	Key difference
Unified Social Credit Code (USCC)	EIN	A legal-entity identity code, not a tax account
GSXT national registry	Secretary of State business search	One national system vs 50 state systems
Business license	Certificate of Incorporation + license	Physical certificate carries legal weight
Legal representative	Responsible Party / Registered Agent (hybrid)	Statutorily liable individual — not necessarily the CEO

Registered capital (subscribed)	Authorized shares	**Subscribed ≠ paid-in** — the gap is a risk signal
Annual report (publicity filing)	Annual Report + Franchise Tax	Chinese version discloses social-security headcount
Dishonest judgment debtor	Judgment debtor listing	China publishes a national public list
Operation anomaly list	Loss of good standing	Removable in China once cured
Court publications	PACER	Free in China; PACER is paid
Credit China penalties	SEC/FTC enforcement pages	One national aggregator across agencies
Social-security headcount	No public US equivalent	**Often the only true employment signal for SMEs**
Execution Open Network	No direct equivalent (UCC + lien ≈)	Publishes down to the individual

APPENDIX A3 · SOURCE APPENDIX

In real reports, every finding in Sections 4–8 is backed here by the **original Chinese registry screenshot, the exact query key, and the timestamp** — so you can verify any line yourself at the source. Screenshots stay in Chinese; interpretation stays in English. *(Illustrative placeholder in this sample.)*

Source	Does not return (coverage limit)	Rev / as-of
GSXT	Paid-in capital; beneficial-owner chain behind corporate shareholders	live pull
Credit China	Penalties older than publication window in some agencies	live pull
Court publications	Cases never published; removed-after-appeal judgments	live pull
Execution Open Network	Closed/settled items drop off; timing varies by court	live pull

Finding	Query key	Source & timestamp
Registry status = Active	USCC 91440300DEM0XE00XX	GSXT · 2026-09-05 10:22 CST
Beneficiary mismatch	PI beneficiary vs registry counterparty	Client-provided PI vs GSXT record
No enforcement records	Entity name + USCC	Execution Open Network · 2026-09-05 10:31 CST
…(all evidence lines in the full report)	—	—

Update rule: this report is re-stamped only when the risk tier changes or key evidence is replaced; each change is noted here. **Fixed footer (v2.2):** This report is based only on information from open sources and records supplied. It is not an audit, appraisal, or certification — use it as a starting point. For any inconsistency between the English interpretation and the Chinese source records, the latter prevail. "Not found" is never "clear."

Henry Xia · Analyst SG-001 · Founder-Analyst
Scope: 4 sources · checked 2026-09-05 · **Paid by the ordering buyer**
Sources: GSXT / Credit China / court records · A risk-signal snapshot, not a guarantee.
Guarantee covers five key registration facts only (legal status, legal representative, USCC, registered address, incorporation date): if any is wrong, full refund within 14 days of delivery. Interpretive judgments excluded.

What this report adds over ChatGPT: timestamped official-registry data (sync lag noted), cross-checks — and a named analyst and refund policy behind every finding.